

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>In re Application of:</b>	Anthony L. Fontaine <i>et al.</i>	<b>Examiner:</b>	Bayat, Bradley B
<b>Application No.:</b>	10/033,716	<b>Group Art Unit:</b>	3621
<b>Filing Date:</b>	December 27, 2001	<b>Office Action Date:</b>	March 13, 2006
<b>Docket No.</b>	10407-559	<b>Confirmation No.</b>	8636
<b>Title:</b>	REMOTE ACCESS VERIFICATION ENVIRONMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD	<b>Customer No.</b>	30076

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

This amendment is filed in response to the final Office action of March 13, 2006, and is timely filed with a one-month extension of time.

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 13 of this paper.

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1-21, 23, 24, 26-68, and 70-76 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-21, 23, 24, 26-68, 70-76 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goertzel *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,308,273) in view of Mark (U.S. Patent No. 5,732,133). Claims 22, 25, and 69 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goertzel *et al.* No claims have been added or canceled. Claims 1, 30, 35, 41, 43, and 48 have been amended to clarify the claimed invention and not for reasons related to patentability. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims. Applicants respectfully contend that the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the cited references.